Early teaching of English through synthetic phonics approach using Jolly phonics (UK) program











Early Teaching of English through Synthetic Phonics Approach Using Jolly Phonics Program

Project Supervisor Prof Amna Murad Qureshi from Government College of Home Economics

By Hira Naeem B.S. Honors Child Psychology, College of Home Economics, Lahore

> Fatima Aftab M.S in Clinical Psychology, University of Punjab

Seenum Zaidi B.S. Honors Child Psychology, College of Home Economics, Lahore

> Shaista Naheed M.phil in Applied Psychology,University of Punjab April, 2016

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges editorial and production support provided by Emma Sarton .Special Thanks to Christopher Jolly for their generous provision of trial materials and then an extra support of providing Jolly Phonics extra kits for pronunciation practice.

Special Thanks to:

Mubashir Shabbir Project Manager AFAQ For his facilitation and support

Fatima Tuz Zahra Authorised Professional Trainer from Jolly Learning UK For her guidance and support

Mariam Hashmi School communication manager from Phonics Club Pvt Ltd For her ongoing school support through Helpline This report was created by Research Society of Synthetic Phonics in collaboration with AFAQ, Jolly Learning UK and Phonics Club Pvt Ltd.

Executive Summary

The present study aimed to investigate impact of using Jolly Phonics as a fast track strategy for teaching English in low cost schools of AFAQ in Lahore, Pakistan. Phonics Club Private limited



and Research Society for Synthetic Phonics in Collaboration with Jolly Learning UK Phonics introduced Jolly Phonics Program in 6 schools of AFAQ. Selected teachers and school administrators were given 3 days training before initiation of the study. Experimental method between group research design was used in this study. The sample consisted of 108 students of ages between 6-13 years. Data was collected from 6 low cost schools under flagship of AFAQ foundation. Two groups (Control and experimental) were formed in each school .Experimental group was taught Jolly Phonics Course where as control group was taught regular English learning course. Burt Reading test was used for pre and post assessment of reading level of class 1 students. Results were analyzed using SPSS (version 18).Results showed significant changes in test scores of students. Results indicated significant changes in Pre and post test results. Students who were taught through Jolly phonics course have attained higher reading age and complexity level than students who were taught regular course following whole word approach. In the light of success of this pilot organization should concentrate efforts to support teachers and community in improving English teaching and learning using synthetic phonics approach and Jolly phonics course material across Pakistan.

Preamble

This publication helps educators create differentiated reading instruction experiences for their students by showing the comparison of two learning groups of students.

This is the first ever trial project of Pakistan using Jolly Phonics program as synthetic phonics approach. Reading specialists, reading coaches, and teachers will find this document useful in lesson planning, as it contains crosswalks that map the relationships between whole word and synthetic phonics approach.

Through this project we would be able to analyze reasons of unsuccessful reading and writing practices used in early years for teaching English language. Researchers would be able to highlight /identify key factors involved in teaching of English language in low cost schools of Pakistan with little or minimum resources and teachers with no prior knowledge of the program.

-5

1. Introduction	6
1.1 Overview of Jolly Phonics	8
1.2 Overview of context	9
1.3 Aims and Objectives	9
1.4 Rationale of the Study	9
1.5 Research Questions	10
1.6 Hypothesis	10
2. Methodology	10
3. Results	13
4. Consideration of other influencing factors	18
4.1 Factors contributing to success of the pilot	18
4.2 Challenges experienced in the pilot	18
5. Discussion and Conclusion	19
6. Recommendations	20
7. Future Implication	21

Appendices

Appendix A: Contents of Jolly Phonics Starter Kit	22
Appendix B: Feedbacks	.24
References	.27

1. Introduction

Teaching through phonics, synthetic or analytic has been a subject of debate for many years in the world, however in recent years educationists have noticed a growing interest in synthetic phonics approach after a declaration of including synthetic phonics approach in UK government policy. Now it is easier for policy makers to choose leading synthetic phonics program providers for their bilingual students or get a blended progression for their English language learners. However in Pakistan there is still not any clear instruction or evidence for teaching of English language through synthetic phonics approach.



This project was initiated to demonstrate that effective language instruction through synthetic phonics approach using Jolly Phonics Program can further lead towards accelerated reading and writing and solve common issues faced by classroom teachers while teaching reading and writing.

Principles of Synthetic Phonics Approach:

- The explicit and systematic teaching of the alphabetic code (the letter/s-sound correspondence).
- A focus on teaching the three core skills of blending for reading, segmenting for spelling and hand writing.
- Putting the alphabetic code knowledge taught to date and the three core skills to immediate use with cumulative, decodable words, sentences and texts.

Scope of Synthetic Phonics Approach

Synthetic Phonics approach is a mode of teaching reading that emphases on letter-sound associations and relationships. During synthetic phonics approach children are trained to understand letter-sound correspondences and how to practice them to blend, decode and encode words for their reading and writing.

Some significant reading researchers have discussed the teaching and training of phonics rigorously and critically in early 1980s and 1990s (Chall, 1983; Adams, 1990; Stahl, 1992). While others promoted the teaching of phonics in the media that in order to learn to read, all that children need is synthetic phonics approach.

Purpose of using synthetic phonics approach in Pakistan is to bring revolutionary change in teaching methodology. Synthetic phonics approach develops oral reading, builds accuracy and fluency, both of which contribute to improved reading comprehension. It is also the most practical way to monitor a student's progress. It gives a student an opportunity to practice applying Phonics Knowledge and word recognition skills in context.

Need Assessment Analysis for English language learners in Pakistan:

Since needs analysis serves as an important initial step in curriculum design for further development of teaching materials, learning activities, tests, program evaluation strategies, and so forth, there is an impressive amount of research on needs analysis in the language teaching field.

The overall findings of existing studies indicate that adequate and appropriate teacher training is key to success in language education. However, very little research is found regarding teacher training; even less is found in the context of teaching through synthetic phonics approach in Pakistan.

Responding to the English instructional course for teaching English at the school level, the teachers' needs should therefore be investigated. The primary purpose of this exploratory study was to identify what school teachers, who are not trained to teach English by synthetic Phonics Jolly Phonics approach, need to learn in order to be able to teach English to young learners.

Need assessment analysis was as follows : teachers were unaware of 44 sounds made from 26 letters that are essential for teaching of reading and writing teachers were not having any prior knowledge of blending and segmenting skills for teaching and of English reading writina it was difficult for the teachers to teach irregular words logically .teachers were unaware of specific vowels spelling rules associated with long n short vowel sounds. A greater difficulty was found in understanding alternative spellings of different sounds for example ai/ alternative spellings of a "/ai/ as in rain , /ai/ as in day ,/ai/ as in cake.

After attending 3 days training workshop most of the teachers reported satisfactorily knowledge of the above mentioned concepts, were well aware of the use of Jolly phonics resource kit and showed high motivation to start teaching by this new method of teaching English.

According to Annual Status Education Report (ASER, 2013), 50 % of class 5 students cannot read class 2 book. Students with reading difficulties would eventually read less and this leads to drop out of students. Multi-grade approach of teaching and poor literacy rate is one of the major challenges in education sector in Pakistan. Pakistan stands 113th amongst 120 countries on the Education Development Index. All this for a country which has a total of 5.1 million out of school children, the second highest in the

world; 1 in 12 of the out of school children in the world are in Pakistan (Shaukat, 2012). Pakistan's economic and social factors contribute a major role for this poor literacy ratio and one of the significant factors is ineffective reading instructions and assessment methods in schools. English reading is almost neglected in pre-service and in-service trainings. Teachers are sent into classes without providing them knowledge, skills and information to meet the needs of students in reading skill. Research in this field of knowledge is rarely held in Pakistan (Naz & Malik, 2014). In this scenario, early English

knowledge is rarely held in Pakistan (Naz & Malik, 2014). In this scenario, early English teaching using Jolly Phonics needs to be explored to develop research based reading instruction and assessment methodologies in multi-grade system.

Pakistan, the 6th most populous country of the world, has 2 million percent of children who are out of school and have a low literacy rate even for those students who are attending schools. In spite of continued efforts of government as well as private sector, Pakistan is way behind in achieving the millennium development goals in most of the sectors including education. The quality and skills of teaching also need meticulous efforts to bring in positive change in improving the quality of teaching. Teaching of reading and writing directly effects a child's progress in all other areas.

It was assessed during need assessment analysis that an effective language instruction is the need of the hour in Early Years and we can develop independent reading and writing skills in students through training, monitoring and implementation of a leading synthetic phonics program provider. It was later concluded that we can achieve this target with a few days training, an effective program and careful implementation.

1.1 Overview of Jolly Phonics program

Jolly Phonics is a systematic synthetic phonics program designed to teach children to read and write. Children learn the 42 letter sounds of the English language, rather than the alphabet. They are then taken through the stages of blending and segmenting words to develop reading and writing skills.

The Jolly Phonics programme has now been used for over 20 years and has been endorsed for use in all schools in countries such as the Gambia and Trinidad and Tobago and recommended by the government in other countries, such as the UK and Nigeria. It is also used in government schools of some countries as diverse as Ghana, Kenya, Uganda, Nepal, India, Mexico, Seychelles, Philippines and Fiji.

With Jolly Phonics the pupils are first taught the letter sounds through actions (so the sounds of the letters, not their names). They are then taught how to 'blend' those sounds together to read words (so c-a-t makes 'cat'). In this way the spoken word 'cat' is made, or synthesized (hence known as 'synthetic' phonics). It enables children to read out new words for themselves. It also enhances their ability to write words by segmenting the word into its sounds, and then writing the letters for those sounds. Pupils are then taught "tricky words"

that do not follow the sound system. The letter sounds are taught alongside culturally appropriate stories, songs, and actions that make learning the sounds both memorable and fun.

1.2 Overview of context

The synthetic phonics approach using the Jolly Phonics programme was piloted in 6 randomly selected schools under AFAAQ foundation in Lahore named The Nation Foundation, S.M High School, The Renaissance School, Afaq Science School, DarullIm and Community English School. The pilot was implemented in partnership with AFAAQ foundation. From each of these schools one section of class 1 was selected as experimental group and the other as control group. From DarullIm only experimental group was selected as they had only one section.

Baseline survey was conducted in the schools listed above in October, 2015. The teacher and head teacher of experimental group from each of these schools received 3 days training of the synthetic phonics using the Jolly Phonics programme, side by side, from an expert Jolly Phonics trainer. Each school was given a Jolly Phonics Starter Kit (see Appendix A for details of kit), with each teacher and head teacher was given copy of the Jolly Phonics Teacher Book. Each student of these classes was also provided with the Jolly Phonics Pupil Books 1 and 2. Schools are also given checklists and yearly planning and guidelines too. The teachers of these classes taught students using the Jolly Phonics programme, on average four times a week between October 2015 and February 2015. Members of research team for Synthetic Phonics (who had training in evaluation, assessment and monitoring) undertook a monitoring visit to each of the pilot schools in November 2015 and January 2016. During these monitoring visit they observed teacher teaching Jolly Phonics course & recorded the findings objectively and gave feedback, provided support to these teachers and identified issues that needed to be addressed. During March 2016, the members of RSSP team visited the respective schools for their final assessment after completion of the Jolly Phonics course in all schools.

1.3 Aims and objectives

The aim of this project was to conduct the Jolly Phonics programme in the teaching of English reading in public and private sectors primary schools in Pakistan and assess its impact on early grade reading outcomes. Objectives of this study under consideration were to:

1. Determine if the synthetic phonics approach of teaching English, and specially the Jolly Phonics programme, leads to faster progress in the pupils' reading ability in English than those pupils not taught using the programme.

2. Determine whether the Jolly Phonics programme is effective for all student groups (gender, ECD experience and language spoken at home).

1.4 The Rationale of Study

Teaching reading in English needs intensive trainings, skills and knowledge for teacher to be able to support students to improve their reading skills in early grades. Students come

10

in school with their local language; medium of instruction is Urdu and these shifts from local language to foreign language pose difficulties for students to improve their early reading. Besides this, English is taught as a subject not as a language. Teachers need to be competent to teach reading for such a big population of students in schools. The objective of this study is to impart reading skills using synthetic phonic approach in students using Jolly Phonics in government schools of Lahore.

1.5 Research Question

To what extent does Jolly Phonics strategy enhance pupils reading skills?

1.6 Hypotheses

(1) There is no significant difference in the posttest mean scores of pupil's reading ability in the experimental and control groups.

(2) There is no significant difference in the posttest mean scores of pupil's reading ability in the experimental group by school location.

Baseline Survey tools

- 1. Burt reading test
- 2. Teacher's observation form
- 3. Demographics Sheet (gender, location, language spoken at home, ownership of household property, parent's education)

2. Methodology

To provide a comparison of the progress in reading English at the schools using the Jolly Phonics programme, five control groups sections were selected for the pilot. These control groups were not exposed to the Jolly Phonics programme as their teachers did not attend training and instead followed the existing methods used in teaching reading and writing. Each control group and experimental group was selected from the same school to match the demographics, so there were 6 experimental and 5 control groups form 6 schools in total. In each of these experimental and control groups, a literacy assessment was undertaken at the beginning (baseline) and end (end-line, which was taken after teachers have taught Jolly Phonics programme to their experimental group) with randomly selected pupils.

2.1 Sample

The sample consisted of 246 students of ages between 6-13 years. Mean and standard deviation for age of students was 7.29 (1.19). Mean and standard deviation for age of boys

was 7.49(1.19) and for girls was 7.07(1.16). Students of class 1 from following AFAQ schools participated in this study

- Afaq Science School
- DarullIm girls Higher Secondary School
- The Nation Foundation High School
- SM high school,
- The Renaissance School
- Community English High School

VariableFrequencyNo. of participants in Control108Group138Experimental group138Gender80ys128Girls118Location118Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education18None42Primary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery BeforeYesYes193No53Main Languages Used at110Others9		
Group No. of participants in Experimental group Gender138Experimental group GenderBoys128Boys128118Location118Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education23Primary33Secondary72Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before Yes193No53Main Languages Used at Home127Punjabi110	Variable	Frequency
No. of participants in Experimental group Gender138Experimental group Gender128Boys128Girls118Location-Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education23Don't Know74Mothers education33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at110	No. of participants in Control	108
Experimental group Gender Boys 128 Girls 118 Location Urban 127 Rural 119 Fathers Education None 33 Primary 44 Secondary 72 Higher education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Group	
GenderBoys128Boys128Girls118Location	No. of participants in	138
Boys 128 Girls 118 Location 127 Rural 119 Fathers Education 33 Primary 44 Secondary 72 Higher education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home 127 Punjabi 110	Experimental group	
Girls 118 Location Urban 127 Rural 119 Fathers Education None 33 Primary 44 Secondary 72 Higher education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 13 Secondary 80 Higher Education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Gender	
Location Urban 127 Rural 119 Fathers Education None 33 Primary 44 Secondary 72 Higher education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 23 Don't Know 74 Mothers education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Boys	128
Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at127Punjabi110	Girls	118
Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at127Punjabi110		
Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at127Punjabi110		
Urban127Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at127Punjabi110		
Rural119Fathers Education33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before73Yes193No53Main Languages Used at127Punjabi110	Location	
Fathers EducationNone33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before Yes193No53Main Languages Used at Home127Punjabi110	Urban	
None33Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before Yes193No53Main Languages Used at Home127Punjabi110		119
Primary44Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at53Home127Punjabi110	Fathers Education	
Secondary72Higher education23Don't Know74Mothers education42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193No53Main Languages Used at53Home127Punjabi110	None	33
Higher education Don't Know23 74Mothers education74Mothers education42 PrimaryPrimary33 SecondarySecondary80 Higher EducationHigher Education18 Don't KnowDon't Know73Attended Nursery Before Yes193 53Attenduction Languages Used at Home127 PunjabiUrdu127 110	Primary	44
Don't Know74Mothers education42None42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before73Attended Nursery Before193No53Main Languages Used at53Home127Punjabi110	Secondary	72
Mothers education None 42 Primary 33 Secondary 80 Higher Education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Higher education	23
None42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at53Home127Punjabi110	Don't Know	74
None42Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before193Yes193No53Main Languages Used at53Home127Punjabi110	Mothers education	
Primary33Secondary80Higher Education18Don't Know73Attended Nursery Before73Attended Nursery Before193No53Main Languages Used at53HomeUrdu127Punjabi110		12
Secondary 80 Higher Education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110		
Higher Education 18 Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	•	
Don't Know 73 Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	-	
Attended Nursery Before Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	÷	
Yes 193 No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Don t know	75
No 53 Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Attended Nursery Before	
Main Languages Used at Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Yes	193
Home Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Νο	53
Urdu 127 Punjabi 110	Main Languages Used at	
Punjabi 110		
-	Urdu	127
-	Punjabi	110
	-	9

Use of English Language at						
home						
Never	206					
Rarely	40					
Family System						
Nuclear	83					
Joint	163					

2.2 Baseline Survey tools

1. Burt reading test

Burt reading test was used to asses:

I Letter sounds

Whole word reading

- 2. Teacher's observation form
- 3. Demographics Sheet (gender, location, language spoken at home, parent's education)

2.3 Inclusion Criteria

Only Pakistani students were included. Students who were present were included only

2.4 Exclusion Criteria

Students who were absent or were on leave were excluded.

2.5 Procedure

At first a meeting with AFAQ foundation team was arranged in which administrators from six different schools running under the supervision of AFAQ were included .In the meeting school authorities were explained purpose of research and also their queries were answered satisfactorily. Schedule for school visits and data collection from students was discussed too. All the student participants in the schools were guided before well about purpose of the test. After that individual assessment of Burt reading test was done to find Burt reading age they achieved and level they reached.

2.6 Analysis

SPSS version 16 was used to analyze data and generate results.

2.7 Ethical Considerations

In order to conduct research following ethical considerations were kept in mind. 1-Prior Permission from the authorities of AFAQ Foundation and school principals was taken. 2-Anonymity of students was maintained.

3-Participants were told that they can withdraw from research anytime they wish.

3. Results

3.1 Summary of results

The following section presents pre-test and post test results to assess the extent to which Synthetic phonics approach of Jolly learning material have developed reading skills of students.

The following table shows the mean scores for the two groups of schools, for each of the five areas of the assessment.

Table 1

Means and Standard Deviations of Pre and Post Complexity Level of Students of Six experimental Schools (N=246)

Schools	Pre-Complexity level		Post-Comp	lexity level
_	М	SD	М	SD
The Nation Foundation	4.93	.85	5.83	1.77
S.M Higher Secondary School	2.46	1.58	3.78	1.62
Renaissance School	3.46	2.22	4.44	1.93
Afaq Science School	3.82	1.34	5.94	2.92
Darul IIm	3.81	1.69	6.53	2.29
Community English	3.45	1.25	4.57	1.49





Table 2

Means and Standard Deviations of Pre and Post Reading Age of Students of Six experimental Schools (N=246)

Schools	Pre-Reading age		Post-Rea	nding age
-	М	SD	М	SD
The Nation Foundation	6.39	.44	6.71	.58
S.M Higher Secondary School	3.96	2.57	5.47	1.52
Renaissance School	4.37	2.81	5.97	1.61




The results in Table 1 and 2 indicate the overall differences between students of six schools (The Nation Foundation, S.M Higher Secondary School, Renaissance School, Afaq Science School, DarullIm and Community English) in their pre and post complexity level and reading age. The results showed that the students of all the six schools had higher post-complexity level than pre-complexity level. As well as the post-reading age of students was also greater than pre-reading age. Here, the complexity level refers to the last reading level at which children reaches, whereas, reading age refers to the standards set according to the correct number of responses.

Table 3

Schools	Groups	Pre-Comple	exity level	Post-Complexity level		
		М	SD	М	SD	
The Nation Foundation	Control group	4.86	.79	5.38	1.36	
	Experimental group	5.01	.91	6.21	2.01	
S.M Higher Secondary School	Control group	2.32	1.42	3.32	1.72	
	Experimental group	2.57	1.71	4.14	1.47	
Renaissance School	Control group	5.05	1.19	5.55	1.39	
	Experimental group	1.79	1.78	3.26	1.73	
Afaq Science School	Control group	3.59	1.46	5.01	3.12	
	Experimental group	4.06	1.19	6.88	2.44	
DarullIm	Experimental group	3.81	1.69	6.53	2.29	
Community English	Control group	3.68	1.56	4.45	1.79	
	Experimental group	3.24	.88	4.68	1.22	

Means and Standard Deviations of Pre and Post Complexity Level of Students in Control and Experimental Groups of each School (N=246)

15



The results in Table and graph showed that the complexity level of control and experimental groups increased in all of the six schools after post testing. The post-complexity level of experimental groups of all of the schools was greater than the control group which demonstrates effectiveness of teaching via synthetic phonics approach.

Table 4

Means and Standard Deviations of Pre and Post Reading Age of Students in Control and Experimental Groups of each School (N=246)

Schools	Groups	Pre-Read	ding age	Post-Reading age		
		М	SD	М	SD	
The Nation Foundation	Control group	6.41	.41	6.72	.66	
	Experimental group	6.38	.47	6.69	.53	
S.M Higher Secondary	Control group	4.06	2.63	4.97	2.13	
School	Experimental group	3.88	2.56	5.86	.61	
Renaissance School	Control group	6.27	.54	6.69	.76	
	Experimental group	2.36	2.85	5.22	1.92	
Afaq Science School	Control group	5.59	1.55	6.02	1.91	
	Experimental group	5.56	1.51	7.11	1.05	
DarullIm	Experimental group	5.11	2.17	6.85	.68	
Community English	Control group	5.29	1.78	5.59	1.86	
	Experimental group	5.74	.29	6.17	1.35	

The above Table 4 showed the differences between control and experimental groups of each school in their reading age. The reading age of control and experimental groups of all six schools was higher than pre-reading age, after post testing. The post-reading age of experimental groups of all of the six schools was greater than the control groups.

16

Table 5

Mean Differences between Pre and Post Complexity Level of Control and Experimental Groups (N=246)

_	Pre-Complexity level		Post-Complexity level		_		CI	95%	
Variables	М	SD	М	SD	t(244)	р	LL	UL	
Control group	3.81	1.66	4.63	2.07	-5.92	.001	-1.11	55	
Experimental group	3.34	1.74	5.08	2.18	-12.41	.001	-2.02	-1.47	


The results in Table 5 indicated that there were significant differences between pre and post complexity level of control and experimental groups which again shows effectiveness of using synthetic phonics approach for English teaching.

Table 6


Mean Differences between Pre and Post Reading age of Control and Experimental Groups (N=246)

	Pre-Reading age Post-Reading age					CI	95%		
Variables	М	SD	М	SD	t(244)	р	LL	UL	-
Control group	5.42	1.91	5.92	1.75	-3.79	.001	77	24	
Experimental group	4.81	2.31	6.24	1.22	-7.98	.001	-1.79	-1.08	

The results in Table 6 indicated that there were significant differences between pre and post reading age of control and experimental groups. It is to be noted that pre-test and post-test reading age of experimental group pupils have shown significant differences and indicate increase in reading age after use of Jolly Phonics curse based on Synthetic Phonics approach.



Graph above shows that before attending Jolly Phonics workshop most of teachers felt that they are not satisfied with their knowledge of Jolly Phonics and other concepts related to synthetic phonics approach.



Results after attending Jolly Phonics Workshop

30/03/2016 |||

Graph above shows that most of the teachers were satisfied with their Jolly Phonics training.

4. Consideration of other influencing factors

4.1 Factors contributing to success of the pilot

There were a number of factors that contributed to the success of this pilot and the improvements in the pupils' literacy outcomes. These factors included:

- **Detailed initial training in Jolly Phonics:** A comprehensive, 3 days training in the beginning by an expert Jolly Phonic Trained Person was arranged that helped teachers learn the methodology and pedagogy. It is strongly recommended for other programs.
- Regular teaching of Jolly Phonics: Jolly Phonics program was practiced almost daily in the classrooms and it put very positive effect over the children's learning and improved language skills. It is recommended to practice and conduct lessons every day or at least three days a week. In this pilot, the majority of the teachers did teach the programme most days which allowed the pupils to make good progress in their reading and writing ability.
- Evaluations of the program in schools: Evaluation and Monitoring was conducted on monthly basis to take follow up of the program being implemented and practiced, and more to find out where the teachers and children need assistance and to facilitate them.

4.2 Challenges experienced in the pilot

Despite this pilot showing impressive improvements in the pupils' ability to read and write when taught using the Jolly Phonics programme, there were a number of challenges faced in the pilot. These challenges included:

- **Overcrowded classrooms and large class sizes:** The large of number of children in one class was a big challenge, as to provide the opportunity to all and to meet the needs of each individual in the focused group.
- Lack of Basic & Essential Teaching & Learning Resources: The schools lacked basic and essential resources like tables, chairs, stationary, writing boards, electricity and space to do activities and learn.
- Low capacity and proficiency of English Language of Teachers: The teachers' Proficiency of English Language was not satisfactory to understand all concepts and rules, and vocabulary was a challenge, it is recommended to plan a language class for teachers as well and embed in this program simultaneously so that teachers and children both learn and improve too.
- Sustainability of Regular Follow up activity: A great effort was made by the members of research team of synthetic phonics to monitor the implementation of

the programme and provide on-going support to the teachers. However due to this pilot having limited funding and capacity, this support and follow-up after the initial training could be made more effective and controlled by regular visits and sticking to the plan week wise visits, and provide in-time facilitation and addressing the issues arising immediately faced by teachers, schools or children, that would have encouraged teachers and had got them put more efforts.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

As results demonstrates that the experimental school sections outperformed the control school sections significantly on all the five literacy skills assessed, suggesting that the Jolly Phonics method provides a more effective way of teaching these key skills than existing methods being used. The results of experimental group are compared to pupils not taught using the synthetic phonics approach made average or no improvement in their reading age over the same period. Such a significant improvement in reading age demonstrates the impact of Synthetic Phonics approach in Pakistan. Jolly Phonics teaching has improved not just reading skills but has also enhanced letter sound knowledge. Results of our research can also be supported with previous researches proving synthetic phonics approach as strong research based approach imparting reading skills efficiently.

An alternative route was to experiment with the use of synthetic phonics. Synthetic phonics is a method by which children learn letter sounds in a specified order which can then be blended together to form words (Feitelson, 1988). The children are able to sound out each letter and synthesize these sounds together in order to pronounce the words for themselves without the assistance of the teacher (Johnston & Watson,2005).Phonics instruction enables students to write word. As students acquire phonemic segmentation skill, knowledge of grapheme-phoneme correspondences, and familiarity with common spelling patterns, and as they practice reading and writing words, they become better able to remember correct spellings (Griffith, 1991). In sum, phonics instruction is thought to contribute in helping students learn to read because it teaches them phonemic awareness and use of letter-sound relations to read and spell words (Linnea & Ehri, 2003).

The aim of this project was to pilot the Jolly Phonics programme in the teaching of reading and writing of English in primary schools in Lahore, Pakistan and assess its impact on early grade reading outcomes. Through this evaluation it can be concluded that the synthetic phonics approach of teaching reading and writing of English, and specifically the Jolly Phonics programme, leads to greater progress in the pupils' reading and writing ability in English than those pupils not taught using the programme. This evaluation has also shown that the Jolly Phonics programme is effective for all student groups.

Limitations

As demographics were self-reported due to which social desirability factor could have influence response of the participants. That creates threat to reliability of the study.

6. Recommendations

1-To increase English reading proficiency of grade 1 student it is recommended that teachers teaching English should be trained well for English language teaching

2-It is also recommended that parents should also be engaged in English learning process .Teachers should call parents once a month and should tell them how they can increase reading ability of their kids.

3- Teachers evaluation and class room observations would help in increasing English reading ability of kids.

4- Teachers should be given jolly Phonics refresher courses to keep quality of English language teaching maintained.

5-Structured class room observations should be made by the AFAQ administration, Jolly phonics trainers, Member of RSSP (Research society for synthetic Phonics) to improve quality of teaching English language.

6- Jolly phonics program should be introduced at the nursery level i.e. the beginning of the educational journey so that a child could learn more comprehensively the language.

7- Class room teaching should be very interactive. Teachers should use multisensory approach that could involve more than one sense at the time of learning so that a child could learn and remember more.

8- It is suggested that class rooms should be equipped with technology. For example using multimedia and speakers would make learning more interesting, interactive and playful for kids which would ensure improved grades.

9- The progression of concepts is important in teaching of reading and writing. By progression it means progressing from sounds to words with special focus on teaching of irregular words.

10- Globally schools are teaching 40 + sounds instead of teaching 26 regular alphabets. To meet global needs schools in Pakistan need to include 44 sounds in their early year curriculums. A child cannot read without having knowledge of 44 sounds made from 26 letters of English language.

11-Vowels are important and teaching them with sounds help kids in blending and forming new words.

21

7. Future Implications

This pilot study has demonstrated the effectiveness of the Jolly Phonics programme in improving reading outcomes of Primary 1 children in Lahore, Pakistan. After the effectiveness of this programme the parents of control group students requested the school administration to offer their children the same programme so that their children will also be able to meet the growing demand of English in education. Therefore the heads of the respective schools have decided to offer this programme to their whole school, so that their all students will be able to read English efficiently. By implementing this proven programme of teaching reading alongside a robust programme of ongoing mentoring and monitoring of the teachers would significantly increase literacy rates across Pakistan.

Future researches should be directed to compare students from different socioeconomic backgrounds and their English learning ability via synthetic phonics, Variables like personality of teachers, teacher attitude, and difference in teaching styles on students English Learning through Synthetic Phonics approach should be considered for further investigation.


Appendix A: Contents of Jolly Phonics Starter Kit

- The Phonics Handbook
- Jolly Phonics DVD
- Jolly Phonics Wall Frieze
- Jolly Phonics Letter Sound Strips
- Finger Phonics Big Books 1-7
- Jolly Phonics Word Book
- Jolly Phonics Cards
- Jolly Phonics Alternative Spelling and Alphabet Posters
- Jolly Phonics Tricky Word Wall Flowers
- Jolly Songs
- Jolly Readers Level 1 Complete Set of 18 different storybooks
- Jolly Readers Level 2 Complete Set of 18 different storybooks



In addition to the Jolly Phonics Starter Kit Extended, each school will be provided with:

- 40 copies Jolly Phonics Pupil Book 1, black-and-white
- 40 copies Jolly Phonics Pupil Book 2, black-and-white
- 4 copies Jolly Phonics Teacher's Book, black-and-white



• Jolly Phonics Extra kits





30/03/2016

24

Appendix B: Administrators, teachers and Parents Feed Back

Reviews and feedbacks

In an interview of Madam Yasmeen, Vice Principal of Community English High School, said that:

"It was a very beneficial program, I learned a lot. It was the first time I came to know about the 42 letter sounds. Through Jolly Phonics we were able to teach our kids sounds and their importance. Furthermore we gained an understanding of tricky words. Students find them very easy and interesting. I had a wonderful experience. However I wish we had more time. Students if given more time we could do far better. Hopefully in our next session we will do this in better way".

A teacher from Afaq Science School has reported that:

"I am a teacher from Afaq Science School. Firstly we thought that this is just an advertisement program to exclude course books but after learning this when we started training this in our own classes even then we did not receive good responses from mothers at first. Few of the parents even moved their children from experimental group to control group but now in our new setup we will include a zero period class for all students".

According to a mother from Afaq Science School who was much satisfied about her child (learning jolly phonics program):

"My son has learned a lot within 6 months of Jolly Phonics course because they have been taught through sounds and children enjoy this more I have also studied till Matric but now I am learning from my child. His sentence making capability has improved a lot at this young age".

The Principal of The Nation Foundation School has reported that:

"I am thankful to you for selecting my school and training my staff. After implementing this program we got very good response from the parents because children were learning through sounds so parents were happy of the fact that they can even read books of 3rd standard. We don't face any problem in teaching children now not even in dictation."

A one class student of Dar-ul-illm during her assessment said

"I am enjoying much in learning the sounds and actions. English has become fun for us. Now we enjoy much learning English. My teacher has asked us to read a book of 9th class and we have easily read the whole book just by blending and segmenting the sounds and following the rules. I suggest my mother for my younger siblings that they should also learn through jolly Phonics Program." *"I am so happy to see that my kid was able to read not just the course books easily but was also reading F.A English book "*

Terminologies Cracked

Blending

Identify the graphemes from left to right of the printed words, sounds and blend them to hear the whole word.

The blending process is decoding.

Segmenting

Identify the sounds from the beginning to end of the spoken word .Write down a grapheme for each identified sound to spell the word.

The segmenting process is encoding.

Phoneme

The smallest identifiable sounds of speech.

Grapheme

Letter or letter groups that are code for the focus sounds.

Tricky Words

They are common words with unusual spellings. Tricky words are those words which cannot be sounded out correctly using the Jolly Phonics sounds. E.g. one, once, off, who ,are etc.

References

Adams, Marilyn Jager. "Why not phonics and whole language." Literacy: Major themes in education 2 (2004): 193-220.

Annual Status Education Report. (2013). Annal Status of Education Report (ASER) Pakistan. Retrieved from <u>http://data.org.pk/dataset/annual-status-of-education-report-aser-pakistan-2013</u> Castiglioni-Spalten, M. L., &Ehri, L. C. (2003).

Phonemic awareness instruction: Contribution of articulatory segmentation to novice beginners' reading and spelling. Scientific Studies of Reading, 7(1), 25-52.

Chall, J. S. (1983). Learning to read: The great debate. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Feitelson, D. (1988). Facts and fads in beginning reading: A cross-language perspective. Ablex Publishing.

Griffith, P. L., &Leavell, J. A. (1995). There Isn't Much to Say about Spelling..... or is There?. Childhood Education, 72(2), 84-90.

Johnston, R., & Watson, J. (2005). The effects of synthetic phonics teaching on reading and spelling attainment: a seven year longitudinal study.

Stahl, S. A. (1992). Saying the" p" word: Nine guidelines for exemplary phonics instruction. The Reading Teacher, 45(8), 618-625.

Naz, R., & Malik, N. A. (2014). Exploring Urdu (l2) reading situation in multi-grade primary schools in Pakistan. American Research Thoughts, 1(1), 377-402.

Shaukat, A. (2012, October 25). Education for all: Literacy rate rising but Pakistan needs to do more. Retrieved from http://tribune.com.pk/story/456401 /education-for-all-literacy-rate-rising-butpakistan-needs-to-do-more/







